

David P. Cooper, Oregon State Bar (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
Elizabeth A. Tedesco, CA Bar No. 221162  
Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.  
260 Sheridan Avenue., Suite 200  
Palo Alto, California 94306-2009  
Telephone: (650) 325-8673

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 224-6655

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SLOCUM ENTERPRISES, INC.  
and D. BARCLAY SLOCUM  
TRUST AGREEMENT

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NEW GENERATION DEVICES

Defendant.

Case No. 05-04982 JL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
EXTENDING TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO  
RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION  
TO DISMISS OR, ALTERNATIVELY, TO  
STAY PROCEEDINGS**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed by and between counsel for the parties herein that the time for plaintiffs to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, Alternatively, to Stay Proceedings is extended from May 10, 2006 until May 16, 2006. Defendant's attorney Michael R. Friscia agreed to this stipulation in a telephone conversation on May 8, 2006 with plaintiffs' attorney David P. Cooper.

The parties are involved in three pending patent lawsuits, including this one, with each involving the same issues concerning plaintiffs' patent for a veterinary surgical implant called a TPLO plate and defendant's accused TPLO plate. The parties

are presently in settlement negotiations, and have to extend pertinent deadlines in each of the lawsuits.

One of the other lawsuits is an appeal by defendant to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the judgment of dismissal by the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey for lack of personal jurisdiction over plaintiffs (the defendants in that lawsuit). The Federal Circuit has already granted the parties' stipulated requests to extend the time for defendant to file appellant's brief and for plaintiffs to file appellees' brief.

The other lawsuit is defendant's declaratory judgment action for invalidity and noninfringement of plaintiffs' patent before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. In that case, the Court approved the parties' Joint Stipulation and Order Extending Time to Answer and scheduled a case management conference. Following that conference, the parties are conducting jurisdictional discovery as a result of plaintiffs' (again, as the defendants in that lawsuit) motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction.

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

Dated: May 9, 2006

David P. Cooper

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED: May 12, 2006

Hon. Marilyn H. Patel, U.S. D.

